Point 1: sequential and simultaneity. Ohio 1984 sec 96


In the whole Platonic tradition there is a hierarchy of cause, a hierarchy of knowledge, a hierarchy of value. In other words, you have the One, have the Intellectual-Principle, you have the Universal Soul, star soul, there's a definite hierarchy of values all the way down, and all the way up. And these are of an eternal order.

Now from that point of view, you *can't say* this is an illusion.

Now that's one view. There's another view -- if you use the analogy of water, then everything is reducible to Mind.

You remember the analogy of the ocean. You've got the ocean, you've got waves, you've got spray, you've got foam. And then a man comes along and says it's all water. Everything's wiped out because it's all water. That's another analogy.

So you have to work with the two analogies to get a proper understanding. You have to work with two points of view. You have the point of view of emanation--from the point of view of universal relativity you have to have a fixed hierarchy. And you have the point of view of simultaneity, where everything is Mind—then you have no need for explanations or anything like that.



From the Bottom Up:

all this may be summed up as follows: When Mind is active in knowing and distinguishing one thing from another, it is finite consciousness. When it assumes forms and qualities, it is the things themselves. When it is centralized as an individual observer of these presented objects it is the 'I.' When it is centralized as the observer through the Overself of all the innumerable separate observers, it is World-Mind. When it is passively at rest, it is itself, Mind. The universe cannot help eventually but move from the Many to the One. This is why all life tends to the grand climax of blessed unity in the end.


--The Wisdom of the Overself

The Mind's first expression is the Void. The second and succeeding is the Light, that is the World-Mind. This is followed by the third, the World-Idea. Finally comes the fourth, manifestation of the world itself. 28.1.52

The Supreme Godhead is unindividualized. The World-Mind is individuated (but not personalized) into emanated Overselves. The Overself is an individual, but not a person. The ego is personal. 28:1.53

... Well, maybe the best way would be to look at the chart. The first (house)... would be the One as transcendent.

And everything else (the whole circle) would be the One as immanent. 04/09/82




AD: We spoke about this as the two views necessary in order to comprehend non-duality? It's not enough to say that everything is non-dual, that all there is is pure Mind, alright? Because you still have the fact of explaining the ordinary experiences that a person has. So you have to have this double standpoint in philosophy which the Buddhists refer to as, you know, conventional and ultimate truth and the Hindus refer to as empirical and ultimate, 04/09/82


I'm quite sure for instance, when we speak about the three Hypostases, PB would point out to you, well that's for beginners. Once you really understand the three Hypostases you recognize that you're talking about God, period. But Plotinus would put you through this discipline because he wants you to have some feeling or some understanding of the enormous and unbelievable mind-boggling wisdom that's inherent in God. Just glimpse, clue here, glimpse there. Very often it gives rise to a sense of humility too-- whenever you think that you know (anything).

SUBSTANCE AND FUNCTION [Supp 638-640]


We could also pictorialize this passage by means of 4 concentric circles: the outermost circle representing the Intellectual -Principle, followed by the separative intellect which is also part of the IP, the third ring stands for Soul, and the Fourth for Body, or the entire System of Nature. For the present we will let pass this seeming discrepancy--explanation would be premature at this point.


The static integrity of each of the quadrants permits us to speak of it as substance (ie intelligence) whereas the four circular forms represent the dynamical or the functioning of this intelligence. Taken in themselves, either of these points of view is an extreme, and our method is to superimpose or to fuse the two images to as to produce a symbol that contains both points of view simultaneously, expressing the paradoxical nature of reality. [Supp 638-640]





Combined, this allows us to conceive both viewpoints functional and substantial simultaneously [I201 top]





Apply to the Cosmos

Thus spring into existence all the manifested solar systems. Of course the four principles we have enumerated are eternal, and are common to the whole cosmos. There is not a place in the whole cosmos where these four energies are absent; and these are the elements of the four-fold classification that I have adopted in dealing with the principles of the mighty cosmos itself.

--T. Subba Row: "Bhagavad Gita"

--T. Subba Row: "Bhagavad Gita" WAY DOWN

As far as we have gone we have arrived at, firstly, *Parabrahmam*; [the One, Mind itself]

secondly, Ishwara or a Logos; [Nous, WM... and Overself?]

thirdly, the light manifested through *Ishvara*, which is called *Daiviprakriti* in the Bhagavad Gita, [perpetual Light and life, World-Idea]


and lastly that *Mulaprakriti* which seems to be, as I have said, a veil thrown over *Parabrahmam*.

--T. Subba Row: "Bhagavad Gita" WAY UP

Conceive this manifested solar system in all its principles and in its totality to constitute the physical dimension of the whole cosmos. Look on this light which emanates from the *Logos* as corresponding to the subtle dimension of the cosmos. Conceive further that this *Logos* which is the one germ from which the whole cosmos springs, — which contains the Idea of the universe, — stands in the position of the Causal dimension of the cosmos, existing as it does before the cosmos comes into existence. And lastly conceive that *Parabrahman* bears the same relation to the Logos as our atma does to our causal dimension.


It [Gayatri] is considered as the light of the Logos and in order to convey to our mind a definite image it is represented as the light of the sun. But the sun from which it springs is not the physical sun that we see but the central sun of the light of wisdom.

... the sun may be compared with the *Logos;* light and heat radiate from it; but its heat and energy exist in some unknown condition in space, and are diffused throughout space as visible light and heat through its instrumentality. Such is the view taken of the sun by the ancient philosophers.



MetaphysicalPrimals and Nature

CosmologicalFour-fold of Solar Logos




One: Parabrahman
 Ineffable timeless
 Godhead, →

2. Nous: Logos: eternal wisdom of God →

3. Soul: perpetual life/light of Logos →

4. Cosmos: body of God: name/form →




1. Unity of Cosmos

2. Inerratic intelligence

3. A Solar System

4. Earth

Cosmic Fourfold within the fourth quadrant








FIG. 7



Realizative: another View of the Four

Thus reality may be conceived from four different standpoints, which are set along a path to be traveled by progressive stages. It may be first worshipped religiously (or explored scientifically) as apart and separate from oneself. It may next be meditated on mystically as being within oneself. It may thirdly be studied philosophically by dropping all false conceptions of it. It may finally be realized consciously as what it is in itself by ultra-mystical processes. -- PB Hidden Teaching



I want to suggest to you one word:

Complementarity

START HERE QUESTION 2:

From such a unity as we have declared The One to be, how does anything at all come into substantial existence...?

Why has the Primal not remained self-gathered so that there be none of this profusion of the manifold which we observe in existence and yet are compelled to trace to that absolute unity? (5.1.6)

5.2.1... But a universe from an unbroken unity, in which there appears no diversity, not even duality?

It is precisely because that is nothing within the One that all things are from it: in order that Being may be brought about, the source must be no Being but Being's generator, in what is to be thought of as the primal act of generation.

Seeking nothing, possessing nothing, lacking nothing, the One is perfect and, in our metaphor, has overflowed, and its exuberance has produced the new: this product has turned again to its begetter and been filled and has become its contemplator and so an Intellectual-Principle.

That station towards the one [the fact that something exists in presence of the Onel establishes Being; that vision directed upon the One establishes the Intellectual-Principle; standing towards the One to the end of vision, it is simultaneously Intellectual-Principle and Being; and, attaining resemblance in virtue of this vision, it repeats the act of the One in pouring forth a vast power.

This second outflow is a Form or Idea representing the Divine Intellect as the Divine Intellect represented its own prior, The One.

This active power sprung from essence [from the Intellectual-Principle considered as Being] is Soul. 5.2.1


Thus the Intellectual-Principle, in the act of knowing the Transcendent, is a manifold. It knows the Transcendent in very essence but, with all its effort to grasp that prior as a pure unity, it goes forth amassing successive impressions, so that, to it, the object becomes multiple: thus in its outgoing to its object it is not (fully realized) Intellectual-Principle; it is an eye that has not yet seen;

5.3.11

in its return it is an eye possessed of the multiplicity which it has itself conferred: it sought something of which it found the vague presentment within itself; it returned with something else, the manifold quality with which it has of its own act invested the simplex 5.3.11

This is the extent of our total universe, ... the total manifested universe is like a dot within the infinite principle: and this is symbolically represented by the dot on the board. In terms of the beyond being compared to the blackboard, this dot, being, is null and void [INFINITESIMAL]... there is no possibility of equating or having any symmetry between being and beyond being. [[between the dot and the blackboard.]] *AD 1970 0620*

#Each point is a Logos... an Emanation of Infinite One...



And anyway, how would you draw a picture of reality? And why would you even think you could? You cannot put it into word or symbol... but you can't speak of it without a form. So circle, point. Complementarities right from the beginning: WM or Logos is a point on page. And Circle is the vision Logos has of the One: it both limits and re(ve)als.

And many people think that this cosmos is reality. So Anthony says that there is a reality beyond the cosmos before the cosmos, giving rise to the cosmos. We get to see that the universe is an expression of reality, and we can use the cosmos as a symbol for the reality of which it is an expression. In that way, the whole of our life becomes a vast sacred or spiritual symbol for the reality which it expresses.

I.K. Taimni: Man, God and Universe

"The undifferentiated ultimate reality or the Absolute which is a void, cannot have any center or particular point. Yet without such an eternal center there can be no manifestation. ..What is the exact opposite of a point... Obviously, boundless, infinite, empty space. The zero and infinity will thus be seen to be analogues of the point and space in geometry. . The Ultimate Point and the Ultimate Space must be the two opposite eternal forms or features of the ultimate Reality, p.20

It is not a question of the Absolute resting in space in its unmanifest state and appearing through a point in its manifest state, but existing through both simultaneously. This means that the unmanifest and manifest states of the Absolute related to this Space and Point are not two alternative but co-existing states which exist simultaneously and eternally. They may be considered as polar states indissolubly bound together.


It is not that the ideal Point appears when manifestation is to take place. it exists eternally and simultaneously with the Ultimate Space and is the vehicle of *Nirguna Brahman*... and which corresponds to number 1 in the series of numbers ... *Taimni p. 20/22 [[see/find TS row also on logos]]*

If we think of the white cardboard as the Absolute;


If we think of these as all the Ideas, as far as we're concerned twelve-- that's enough [diagram]....this would represent the precipitation out of the Absolute of a collocation or gathering of certain Ideas.

Ohio 3/23/84 fixing and diagrams for 90

Eye blank of vision and filled- Circle is the metaphysical symbol of nous vision: blank of impression and filled with vision (radii). It allows the Infinite to appear... but only by limiting it. Circle includes center point, radii, and circumference: one-many-whole-hologram.




Void-Reality is ineffable. Infinite. AND: emanates! 5.2.1 "eye blank of impression." Circle is the vision Logos has of the One: it both limits and re(ve)als.



Circle is the vision Logos has of the One: it both limits and re(ve)als.


"eye blank of impression."




← Vision of Nous: Circle: limits and reveals: bound/infinity. Infinite Power. Infinite Logoi in PB:


-- Jewel Net of


Indra




POINT-CIRCLE-RADIUS











-- 5.3.11 Vision of Nous: eye filled: 12 ideas and rulers (AD: enough... from our view) — Complementarities, subst/fcn, hierarch and simultaneous. Re(ve)als and conceals. Not only paradigm for cosmos, but image points to Ineffable One (see Mandala later). Metaphysics Open

#Logos looks to the One and in its vision Filled, an eye with Divine Ideas, Radii.




AD: Astronoesis


...Among its many formulations we find Plato's "Idea of the Good," John's "the Word made flesh," "the conceptions of the Father" in the Chaldean sacred oracles, and the sacred syllable Pranava Aum of the Hindus. [1, p. 302] We intend to pursue meanings that Eckhart did not elaborate. In doing so, we pursue a vision, for in that Vision all of it lies.




I-XII Each mansion is an idea--a Logos or conception of the father. (TS Row) This is the Intellectual Principle. Associated, identified, with each idea--a ruler which essentializes the idea.




We can think of the ideas as intellect and the rulers as the object of intellect. The totality of ideas (is the) intellective Act and one, but the object of intellect as distinct from that act as the rulers. This permits us to conceive it as both a unity and a manifold.





If we allow ourselves the freedom to correlate the dignities that we find in the astrological mandala, the outermost circle would be filled in by all the rulerships, the second circle by the exaltations, the next by the detriments, and the innermost with the falls. We now have at our disposal a chart that diagrams the All and its source." Astronoesis



[supp 640] For the present, we cannot give a sufficient justification for the distribution proposed above, it would seem that rejected members from various sources of traditional knowledge are being brought together haphazardly. Only in retrospection will we be able to perceive a logical reason or justification, so we ask the readers to bear with us until more of the picture comes into focus. Our attempt to indicate something of the meaning of each and every element within the mandala will force us to search out sources and hunt down clues scattered in many different texts. In the final analysis it will be possible to demonstrate that the different meanings that went into the formation of the mandala are available in a body or as a total in Plotinus and are the underly of the philosophy of astrology.




Fig. 135: The dignities complete and complement the geometry of the circle as a means of portraying the nature of reality.

The symbol image that we are given of the 12 fold mandala is from the point of view of our human sages—our cosmos. So even the structure of the metaphysical chart is based on the seeing of the seers and the human perspective of sacred geometry and number. We should not assume that this is actually the way ultimate reality looks. We should not assume anything further. Another image is something like the jewel net of Indra. We cannot draw an infinite number of radii in our mandala.

But not to cause any misunderstanding I must also state that such centres of energy are almost innumerable in the bosom of Parabrahmam. It must not be supposed that this *Logos* is but a single centre of energy which is manifested by *Parabrahmam*. There are innumerable others. Their number is almost infinite. Perhaps even in this centre of energy called the *Logos* there may be differences; that is to say, Parabrahmam can manifest itself as a *Logos* not only in one particular, definite form, but in various forms. T.S. Row on the Gita

Now the strange thing about these Ideas is something like this: If we think of any world, any universe, any solar system, any galaxy, there would be the underlie or the basic plan of any one of them would be this organization of Ideas.

--Ohio 3/23/84 fixing and diagrams for 90

Of course, there are universal principles: such as when Plotinus writes that each divine idea is a unique form of the whole Nous. Anthony tells us that we can take any of the divine ideas or any of us and apply these 12 principles. Or when Row says that these are the principles of every universe the fourfold and so on.

Now the strange thing about these Ideas is something like this: If we think of any world, any universe, any solar system, any galaxy, there would be the underlie or the basic plan of any one of them would be this organization of Ideas.


--Ohio 3/23/84 fixing and diagrams for 90

So, when you look at Aries, Aries is supposed to represent the *principle* of anything, the principle or unity of anything. And Taurus and Gemini would represent that unity so to speak as containing metaphysical and infinite power and - the total possibilities that are in that principle. So you could think of the universe as having a kind of unity. And run through this whole circle of Ideas and that would underlie that universe. You do it to another universe, the same series of Ideas.


In other words, if we take a solar system as an example, it would have to have a certain unity, a unity which is constituted by the totality of possibilities (and/in) a metathe infinity of power [diagram House 2]. Every universe would have to have this kind of arrangement. And every universe would in a sense be also constituted of all these Ideas, like it would have to have a fourfold nature [diagram House 4].

So if you have sound there would be four levels of sound starting from inaudible or causal sound all the way to gross sound. The same with consciousness, there would have to be four levels of consciousness. All would be, so to speak, differentiated into four. It would have to have, so to speak, these Six Forces of Nature [diagram House 6]. Now each universe, like we said, would have to have this as the basic underlying principle. ... ohio sec. 90

→ Paradigm for cosmos, and Cosmos gives image/symbol for Nous. OUR sages vision.



--AND: Logos is to One as Solar Logos is to WM ...Each star is a divine Sun... Row explains "God in the Sun is the I in me."... Theophany of R.I.... Row explains Logos and Light of Logos...



So maybe now we can grasp what they mean when they say these Ideas are eternal. The universe is not. Universes will come and go. And PB makes a remark they'll be like bubbles rising in the water. From the point of view of the Void Mind, universes arise, they take billions of years, and they go back, lapse into the Void, they rise. He says it's like bubbles. That's from the point of view of Void Mind: ten billion years, ten minutes, what's the difference? From the point of view of Void Mind, not from the point of view of relative mind, that's quite different - Must be quite a treat.

--Ohio 3/23/84 fixing and diagrams for 90

SEE readings for session 3

Considering the whole chart, could we not say that the Wisdom-Insight which has assigned to each sign of traditional astrology its corresponding dignities is the same as that which is expressed by Plotinus when he says that when a thing is an intellect, it is also a being, the two are never apart? The Ideas which are the Intellectual Principle's substance, and the intelligibles which are the Ideas' very activity, could be symbolized as the twelve signs around the wheel with their rulers. Both are grounded in the unitary consciousness, Turiya. We could then see that the totality of the signs and rulers are the collectively Intellectual-Principle, and that each sign is a particular facet of Divine Wisdom, a particular Intellectual-Principle or Idea. Astronoesis ch. 2

Each Idea has a certain power, for this is the mark of real being, a power primarily to be, which forms an inseparable unity in duality -- the rulerships in their totality being the collective power of the Intellectual-Principle as a one-many of essentially self-gnostic Ideas. –

If we follow this suggestion through, then any of the Ideas can be placed around the circle from houses I to XII, for each is a special version of the whole. Any one of the ideas could be regarded as an absolute or leading Idea for a particular philosophic tradition and would be that version of the Divine Mind most suited to fill the spiritual needs of the people served by that tradition (Astronoesis ch. 2)

So, in a sense, each Idea is a miniature Intellectual-Principle It is not possible to understand one of the Ideas by itself—all the others are implicit in it. The consequence is that each can be taken to be absolute We also have here an illustration of how a one can be a many and its uniqueness not sundered in the process Any one of the Ideas could be regarded as an absolute or leading Idea for a particular philosophic tradition and would be that version of the Divine Mind most suited to fill the spiritual needs of the people served by that tradition.*

Astronoesis p. 114-115

* Editors: The 1st house Idea of Self-Existent Brahman, for example, is the central idea for Advaita Vedanta The Idea of the Five Dhyani Buddhas or Divine Incarnation, however, which T Subba Row has in the 5th house, is central to Mahayana Buddhism; the hierarchy of principles or Thirty-six Tattvas Unfolding Reality that Row places in the 7th house exemplifies the central teaching of Kashmir Shaivism; the relativity of manifestation in different forms or lokas, which Row places in the 11th house, is central to the Idea of Christ-Consciousness on the cross of matter; the Idea of Creative Deific Imagination that Row places in the 8th house is at the core of astrology and some forms of deity yoga Each of these central Ideas can be understood more deeply through a process of applying all twelve prototype Ideas to it Astronoesis p. 114-115

--Ohio 1984 section 6

Anthony: there's a whole sequence that you have to follow all the way down:

we have these three principles here (the primals, One-Nous-Soul)

-- the (cosmic) Soul manifests the cosmos based on these.

here you have the inerratic sphere

within the inerratic you have the solar system

within the solar system the planetary systems-- one of them the Earth,


and on that Earth you'd have bodies which are fabricated according to the Ideas which are up here [in the inerratic].

AD: So it's a long process.

S: And we're at the bottom of the heap.

AD: Well, yes. We're at the bottom of the heap, but there's also a way up again. And the thing that we've been doing, at least I've been doing, is to show how the Ideas come all the way down and go all the way up. And you have to see this like an unbroken circle.

--*Ohio* 1984 section 6




In a sense, when you reach the limit of the conception of the Earth's Mind, that is a boundary situation and is the beginning of the powers of the Sun Soul. You can reach the limit of that and you would then be going into the inerratic sphere. So the Platonist are saying that you have an idea, and when you reach the limit of that idea you're at the boundary or beginning of the next idea which is going to include the lesser idea, and this is a process that goes on and on, the greater including the lesser. -- 1/6/84

Evidently the ancients thought it was an absolute pre-requisite in order to explain how knowledge arises. What is knowledge that they have to have all this as a background? Once you begin to grasp the enormity of the conception that they are working with, the categories of thought are going to seem like a petal thrown into the ocean. -- 1/6/84

When you take a glass of water you can drink it, but then you can also analyze it chemically and see that it is made up of certain compounds, and analyze further and see the level of sub-atomic physics. Right in the analysis of the glass of water you can see that there are these different levels of reality. Analogously, you have the level of reality where the Universal Soul is operative, you have the level of reality where the Solar Logos is operative, the level of reality where the Earth's mind is operative then you have the guy drinking the glass of water. But all this was required. The water didn't just appear out of a combination of chemical elements.


-- 1/6/84

Taimni: The same kind of mathematical symbol and analysis will also throw some light on the problem of the co-existence of Oneness and Manyness in the Divine Consciousness.



IN AND OUT

We can symbolize **REALITY** as a point, everything is radiating from it. This point is merely the point-er to the Void.




IN AND OUT


We can sybolize


REALITY as infinite

space, and
everything is a
contraction from
the infinite: an
infinitesimalization.

We need to use both.








Siva-Awareness Substance Shakti-Vibration Function


Primordial Awareness A center. Light rings. Rays.








Each quadrant gives us a vision of the whole of Reality.





Anthony's Cosmological Transposition

Anthony applies or corresponds the four-fold metaphysical mandala to the cosmos in several classes and papers.

Here are some excerpts from Ohio march 1984 Then Astronoesis p.207— Then From classes 2/17/84; 1/6/84 Then Astronoesis p. 201+ We begin with a quotation from 5.1.10:

[We have shown the inevitability of certain convictions as to the scheme of things:]

"There exists a Principle which transcends Being; this is The One, whose nature we have sought to establish in so far as such matters lend themselves to proof. Upon The One follows immediately the Principle which is at once Being and the Intellectual-Principle. Third comes the Principle, Soul.


Now just as these three exist for the system of Nature, so, we must hold, they exist for ourselves. I am not speaking of the material order--all that is separable--but of what lies beyond the sense realm in the same way as the Primals are beyond all the heavens; I mean the corresponding aspect of man, what Plato calls the Interior Man." t8



AD: This schemata will be more than a useful device to objectify our thinking only. Later on, as we shall see, it will become a mandala in the true sense of the word.


What is a mandala... in the true sense of the word?






we can think of the One as the first quadrant, everything else is an emanation, or we can think of the One as the whole circle


[149] The diagram will aptly illustrate our two-fold viewpoint. We may look upon the circle as a symbol of the One itself--all and everything is included. Again, we can look at the divisions as those principles that emanate from the One (as first quadrant). These two points of view are simultaneous in the chart




MULTIVALENT

with the 4-fold Circle we can illustrate how it is multivalent hierarchical and simultaneous. We must include the fourth quadrant to get a full circle. We can see the whole circle as reality we can see the first quadrant as reality. We can see the three coming out of the one, and we can see the fourth coming out of the three. And imaging the primals as well.







Four-

Solar

Logos

fold of

04/09/82: ON THE TWO POINTS OF VIEW

VM: could you return to this business about reducing to a monistic metaphysics, I didn't get that point.

AD: By reducing everything to the One you have a monistic framework, and then there won't be anything to talk about. And also you'll be limiting the totality of reality, which would mean the One, the Divine Mind, Soul, system of Nature, you'd be reducing everything to the One. You'd reintegrate the entirety of all the different levels back into the One.

VM: Sounds great.

AD: Sounds great. Now there would be nothing to talk about. You and I would not be here now.

... You have to have both positions in order to speak. If you take the position of the transcendent One, then you have to keep quiet, there's nothing to talk about. But even so, you would have to place yourself in the realm of reality, and by reality here I mean and I include (both) the transcendent and the immanent, so the two of them have to be simultaneously present. In order to speak of the immanent you've got to speak of the transcendent, in speaking of the transcendent the immanent is there. Now if you recognize this then you understand that metaphysics is by definition and necessity dualistic. 04/09/82

AD: (If you) understand that there's the Transcendent One and there's the Immanent One, you understand that there are many frameworks of reality and that there's one reality. Both simultaneous. This is the--can we say?-the ultimate of oppositions.

AD: I'm saying you cannot *speak* of reality outside of a dualistic framework, it's not speakable.

AH: Nor is it speakable within the dualistic framework.


AD: Within the dualistic framework it's speakable because there are various levels of reality, and we're located in one of them. 04/09/82


The 4: can't keep it to yourself any more

The 4: simultaneity and hierarchy

The 4: Nested Self-Reference

The 4: way up and way down; instability










FIG. 7







Four ways to View the Whole of Reality.


Deepening Realizations.


We may then properly say that the I.P. [Intellectual-Principle] will be the teleology of the One; & soul the life of the One . . . AD SUPP P.192






Each quadrant gives us a vision of the whole of Reality.








CosmologicalFour-fold of Solar Logos

Earth Four-fold of Earth




AD on Cosmic Epistemology 1984 0217a audio/text 2 min on web to 1:50

What we're trying to do is simple (!) --follow the guidance given to us by the divided line

trace those Ideas all the way down until a solar system appears then from that solar system the Earth geocentric point of view and then work our way up again. As inconceivable as this is, the experience of the philosophic mystic is that within the soul of an individual is the whole of the Intellectual Cosmos—

and from that Intellectual Cosmos a particular World-Idea is irradiated into a Solar Logos,

the Solar Logos manifests that World-Idea which is the appearance of the world you're living on. 02/17/84



MORE READINGS FOR SESSION 4

AD: In the transcript from #12/7/83 Anthony also brings out the two views:

See p. 7

And then 1/27/84

Anthony -- Ohio 3/23/84 section 6/7

WHAT CAN YOU DO WITH FOUR?

the levels of Number—monadic, psychical, essential, and divine—correspond to four levels of reality.

$$1 \rightarrow 2,3,4$$
 $1,2,3 \rightarrow 4$ $1,2,3,4 \rightarrow Cosmic 1,2,3,4$

AD: double viewpoint

Nested Metaphysical and Cosmic

Each is its own view

Fourfold Unit of Life: <u>Astronoesis</u> p.207:

1. The I AM of the Individual and the I AM of the Cosmic Soul are conjoined in the Pure Essence, Pure Consciousness (the Primals). A description as either One or Many is not adequate. All Souls are One Soul. We can call this our own Selfhood--what the Hindu's call Atma. (*Turiya Consciousness*)

2. The intelligence of the soul has for its object then the Universal Reason Principles (Sidereal Zodiac) which constitute the World Idea. This is our Intellection or Buddhi. This is equivalent to spiritual subjectivity. (*Prajna Consciousness*)

3. At the next stage we can speak of the Soul as having a power of Immediate Projection able to be present at any location. When it projects its power of understanding into the Earth's sphere of reason principles, then our soul's power is assimilated to the understanding of those reason principles. This association with the Planetary Reasoning, our rationality, we speak of this as undifferenced Self or Witness I, which includes within itself differenced self. This corresponds to psychical subjectivity. (Taijasa Consciousness)

We can speak of the Soul's association with the Planetary Reasoning, our rationality. When it projects its power of understanding into the Earth's sphere of reason principles, then our soul's power is assimilated to the understanding of those reason principles. We speak of this as undifferenced Self or Witness I, a power of Immediate Projection able to be present at any location, which includes within itself differenced self. This corresponds to psychical subjectivity. (Taijasa Consciousness)

Now this contracted consciousness we may refer to as an impersonal or witnessing consciousness and is associated with the planetary mind from which it receives the image of the world-idea. At this level the world-image is in a pristine and subtle form, an alogical unity that includes all the features that will be found later on in the reflective consciousness. Included in this world-image is the particular body which is being evolved with which that human soul identifies.

-- Astronoesis p. 201+

4. Further, because of its desire for embodiment and experience--complete differentiation of thought—a unit soul or unit of life presides over particular embodiments on this planet, shining its light and power into the psychosomatic, and there emerges ego subjectivity. The Body of Mentality within the Planetary Aura corresponding to the Ego or the Animate. (vaishvanara consciousness)

The entrance of the soul into the world to associate with a particular body is determined by root tendencies inherent in it and compel it to identify with that body, which has a certain ontogenetic and phylogenetic history and comes into being at a certain moment of time indicated by aspectual relationships among the planets.

--<u>Astronoesis</u> p. 201+

The human soul is now a fated member of the circuit. Here the experiences provided (through) the body will develop the faculty of understanding and reason through an indefinite series of incarnations. Soul incarnates or participates in the ideas of the planetary mind by perceiving and experiencing through a body what the Gods think. Thus, the Earth, footstool of the Gods, nurses our soul to heavenly maturity. -- Astronoesis p. 201+

STAR SOULS -- Astronoesis p. 201

these star souls are a part of universal manifestation and their totality constitutes the inerratic or immutable sphere of Ideas. Also, each Sun or star soul is unfolding or manifesting within itself its own Logos, the World Idea within it through its own Intellectuality. The Sun Soul envisions the total presence of the Nous, manifests to itself a world or a cosmos of its own--through its own powers, symbolically represented by the planets, which are both the agents and the vehicles that are manifesting the Sun's intellectuality.

EARTH

Now, each of those planets is also a God, manifesting or unfolding the master-plan inherent in the Sun's intellectuality, providing in their respective sphere habitations for the next degree or level of Soul.

Thus our Earth, a God, also included within our Sun's manifestation, has a body, soul, intellect, and unity. -- <u>Astronoesis</u> p. 201+

Anthony: Ohio March 1984 sec 7

Now, if I make the diagram something like this, — just imagine there's seven spheres. This is from the point of view of the Sun; this is from the point of view of the Earth.

Now you put the Earth in the center. I'm still using the same diagram. From the point of view of the Earth we have a geocentric system, from the point of view of the Sun we have a heliocentric.

the elements are equivalent to the body of the Earth.

The traces of life that have been left behind in the elements may be referred to as **its soul**. these memories are retained by the planetary mind and constitute our subconscious, which the ancients referred to as the Dragon. -
Astronoesis p. 201

(Starting the other way...) -- Body of the Earth

If we think of the planet Earth as situated in the Chaldean scheme, and we say there's the elements, fire, earth, air, and water, that's the body.

when you say earth, what you really mean is cohesion. When you say air what you mean is mobility, the principle of mobility, principle of cohesion. This is what they mean by fire, earth, air, and water. So that would represent the body of the Earth.

--<u>Ohio</u> 1984 sec 7

Life or Psyche of the Earth

Then we have the traces of life left *in* the elements, that's the psychical, --the life which is left behind in the elements themselves, in other words, the memories that the Earth retains of every living thing that ever occurred here, we think of that as the psychical,

--Ohio 1984

Then there is a third level – the degrees of the **zodiac** around the Earth and which constitute its intellectuality. And they are often referred to in astrological literature as the differentiation of the Earth's aura.

And lastly, the **unity** of our planet Earth or the mundane God is a participation in the Sun's soul unitary functioning. -- *Astronoesis* p. 201

Intellect of the Earth

And then we have the ideas around the Earth. the degrees, the 360 degrees which are around the Earth. And we speak about that as the intellectuality of the Earth.

Unity or Undivided Mind of the Earth

Then the *Undivided* Mind of the Earth would be the functioning of all these planets

in other words, you could see that at any time these planets will be all in various positions, the position of all the planets in the solar system at any one time would represent the Undivided Mind of the Earth

--<u>Ohio</u> 1984

Let's reverse the procedure, consider the Earth's unity as identical with or coinciding with the functioning of the Sun's power(s). This will be equivalent to the unitary aspect of the Earth as the undivided or infinite mind of the Earth.

Then follows the 360 degrees that are distributed around the Earth and may be considered as its intellectuality,

and the traces of life left behind may be considered as its soul,

and finally the gross elements as its body.

--Astronoesis p. 201.

The solar system would represent the Undivided Mind of the Earth

The degrees would represent the intellectuality of the Earth

The tropes—life traces --would represent the psychical


And then the elements themselves would represent the body of the Earth.

--<u>Ohio</u> 1984

So the Earth is a statue of the Gods. And it would have a body, it would have a life, it would have an intellectuality, and it would have Being. Or, if you want, you can say unity. The unity is represented by the functioning of the planets, the intellectuality the ideas around it, and going down that way. Every body in the universe will have this basic constitution. If you say the Infinite Mind of the Earth, when you sit down and you pray, you say "Thank you, O Lord, for this food," who're you thanking?

--<u>Ohio</u> 1984

System of Nature unfolds 4-fold





Primals: I Am of Sun Soul and individuals Conjoined.


Stars are a theophany of radiating Intelligence: You are a seed of God


Solar: Sun Soul: Light and Life Circulating express wisdom

Earth Soul/Mind: 360 degrees; traces of life; four elements










Unity in Sun Soul

360 degrees: Intellect of Earth


Traces of Life: Soul of Earth


Elements: body of Earth





Earth centered and Sun centered






SIMPLE FORM APPLIED TO HERE AND NOW

- 4. I see a tree.
- 3. Living inter-be with tree and all nature: "hidden life of trees."
- 2. Nature Contemplation and the One: Beingly Tree
- 1. Primordial awareness and vibration present as all this as it is.


HHDL: embodied Being-Consciousness-Life


- 4. Body: Form you see: human form body;
- 3. Soul: Tropes: Vasanas of Tibetan Buddhism. And his historicity as DL.
- 2. Unique form of divine Intelligence-Logos: Avalokita. "my religion is Kindness." "Personification, not personal". Seed of Buddha?
- 1. Unity: I-Am, primordial Buddha Nature, Water, Turiya, Universal. Sunya tangka.





Parabrahman =The One = Mind Itself


Ishvara-Logos = Intelligence =Causal Light/life of Logos = Soul = Subtle Prakriti = Nature ≥ World = Physical




















Ideas come to Valois: Sacred spectrum of Consciousness






MANDALA: MAP OF COSMOS;



We apply geometric Mandallic pattern-sacred geometry and mathematics to the visible cosmos.. 360 degrees, aspects, houses.



There are two different directions that the mandala is going to help us to revision. the old way of thinking about. A. We are not going to reduce everything to monism. B And we're not going to say that the universe is Maya illusion.

first of all, everything in that fourfold in the mandala is an expression of the one. Yeah, not just the first ineffable. It's the entirety of the knowability. It's the entirety of the flow and functioning and power of the soul. It's the entirety of the manifestable. All of that is an expression of reality. Reality. So, it first shows us we can't reduce everything just to the one.


Secondly, let's look at the 4th Quadrant. Is that an illusion? If it is, when you take away that piece of the circle, you don't have a full hole circle anymore. Get it? The Cosmos itself is just as much an intrinsic part of reality as the soul or the Nous or the one it's part. It's an it is one of the four main principles, and you're not gonna wipe it away. if you wipe away manifestation where is soul gonna have to flow to?

with the 4-fold Circle we can illustrate how the mandala is multivalent hierarchical and simultaneous. We must include the fourth quadrant to get a full circle. We can see the whole circle as reality we can see the first quadrant as reality. We can see the three coming out of the one, and we can see the fourth coming out of the three. And imaging the primals as well.


So if we go back to the wholeness of the circle, the meaning of circle is wholeness and holiness. That nondual view includes the cosmos. That's the point. It includes the cosmos. So you can't get rid of the cosmos as maya, and it includes the ineffable, the unspeakable, even though you can't grasp it, you can't vision it, you can't know it. It's absolutely essential, and that's also part of the wholeness. And then you have to read somebody like Changsu who talks about the absolute necessity of the beyond being, you know, and we talked about that, how without that ultimate view, you can't do anything.


That's the divine and outpouring the mystery.

ONE emanates Nous, Soul, Nature



Hierarchy of principles One-Nous-Soul-Nature







We begin with a quotation from 5.1.10 (*Plotinus Enneads*):

[We have shown the inevitability of certain convictions as to the scheme of things:]

"There exists a Principle which transcends Being; this is **The One**, whose nature we have sought to establish in so far as such matters lend themselves to proof.

Upon The One follows immediately the Principle which is at once **Being and the Intellectual-Principle**.


Third comes the Principle, **Soul**.


Now just as these three exist for the **system of Nature**, so, we must hold, they exist for ourselves. I am not speaking of the material order--all that is separable--but of what lies beyond the sense realm in the same way as the Primals are beyond all the heavens; I mean the corresponding aspect of man, what Plato calls the Interior Man." t8

Anthony points out the need for both hierarchy and simultaneity.

Anthony makes it clear in places that there are two perspectives on "Reality." In one way, the philosophers distinguish these three "hierarchical" but not separate aspects of Reality as Soul, Nous, One... and they exist for the fourth, the "system of Nature" or Cosmos.

And in other way: these distinctions help us appreciate the Infinite, but in actuality all three are aspects of Reality itself which then is "continuous with its appearance"... the cosmos. Anthony points to this double standpoint using the Mandala:





- 1. One: Ineffable Timeless Godhead → Primals
- 2. Nous: Logos: eternal wisdom of God

 →Inerratic intelligence
- 3. Soul: perpetual life/light of God


 →Solar System
- 4. Cosmos: body of God: name/form → Earth

Next time: how Nous arises as whole vision of Ideas:

Eye filled with vision

(Jer. 7:2) The heavenly Father speaks one Word and that he speaks eternally and in this Word expends he all his might: his entire God-nature he utters in this Word and the whole of creatures....This Word lies hidden in the soul unnoticed and beyond our ken, and were it not for rumors in the ground of hearing we should never heed it; but all sounds and voices have to cease and silence, perfect stillness, reign. This is a meaning I will not pursue. (Meister Eckhart p. 95)

...Among its many formulations we find Plato's "Idea of the Good," John's "the Word made flesh," "the conceptions of the Father" in the Chaldean sacred oracles, and the sacred syllable Pranava Aum of the Hindus. [1, p. 302] We intend to pursue meanings that Eckhart did not elaborate. In doing so, we pursue a vision, for in that Vision all of it lies.



So, when you look at Aries, Aries is supposed to represent the *principle* of anything, the principle or *unity* of anything. And Taurus and Gemini would represent that unity so to speak as containing metaphysical and infinite power and—the total possibilities that are *in that* principle. So you could think of the universe as having a kind of unity. And run through this whole circle of Ideas and that would underlie that universe. You do it to another universe, the same series of Ideas.

In other words, if we take a solar system as an example, it would have to have a certain unity, a unity which is constituted by the totality of possibilities (and/in) a meta-- the infinity of power [diagram House 2]. Every universe would have to have this kind of arrangement. And every universe would in a sense be also constituted of all these Ideas, like it would have to have a fourfold nature [diagram House 4].

So if you have sound there would be four levels of sound starting from inaudible or causal sound all the way to gross sound. The same with consciousness, there would have to be four levels of consciousness.

--Ohio section 90

) Each and every Idea will reflect and duplicate the organization and structure of the Intellectual-Principle or again, the Intellectual-Principle exhausts itself in the indefinite variations upon itself. This means that any one Idea can be considered as a unity of Being and situated in the 1st house [of a twelve-Idea circle], and can be unfolded by applying the archetypal meanings revealed by the Intellectual-Principle or the chart of Being. Insofar that the Ideas which in their totality constitute the Intellectual-Principle are archetypal wholenesses, any one of them can represent the unity of the Intellectual-Principle or Intellection; and all the Ideas, insofar as they are archetypal wholenesses, can unfold the profound depths of its possibilities Astronoesis p. 114-115

So, in a sense, each Idea is a miniature Intellectual-Principle It is not possible to understand one of the Ideas by itself—all the others are implicit in it. The consequence is that each can be taken to be absolute We also have here an illustration of how a one can be a many and its uniqueness not sundered in the process Any one of the Ideas could be regarded as an absolute or leading Idea for a particular philosophic tradition and would be that version of the Divine Mind most suited to fill the spiritual needs of the people served by that tradition.*

Astronoesis p. 114-115

* Editors: The 1st house Idea of Self-Existent Brahman, for example, is the central idea for Advaita Vedanta The Idea of the Five Dhyani Buddhas or Divine Incarnation, however, which T Subba Row has in the 5th house, is central to Mahayana Buddhism; the hierarchy of principles or Thirty-six Tattvas Unfolding Reality that Row places in the 7th house exemplifies the central teaching of Kashmir Shaivism; the relativity of manifestation in different forms or lokas, which Row places in the 11th house, is central to the Idea of Christ-Consciousness on the cross of matter; the Idea of Creative Deific Imagination that Row places in the 8th house is at the core of astrology and some forms of deity yoga Each of these central Ideas can be understood more deeply through a process of applying all twelve prototype Ideas to it Astronoesis p. 114-115

The Mandala to begin with is a schema... but becomes a Mandala... even to say whole and first q. is already mandala: simultaneous and sequential, hierarchy and omnipresence. The philosophic meaning of the number 4 (four levels of #) symbolizes this: Each Q is a mandala... a different view of Reality... and the whole is a paradigm for every view of Reality.

even with the simple diagram that lays out the four or the three primals and the system of nature. We can look at it as a one and three with the one has ultimate reality and everything emanates we can look at the three primal's as all of them reality from which comes the sister nature. We can look at them all as constituting the wholeness of reality. And perhaps most importantly the fourfold schema or symbol is an archetype or paradigm for everything which is a reality: Cosmos idea human the gods will will inherit this fourfold nature and express it.

